

1 CAROLINE L. FOWLER, City Attorney (SBN 110313)
2 JOHN J. FRITSCH, Assistant City Attorney (SBN 172182)
3 City of Santa Rosa
4 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Room 8
5 Santa Rosa, California 95404

4 Telephone: (707) 543-3040
Facsimile: (707) 543-3055

6 Attorneys for Defendants
7 CITY OF SANTA ROSA; EDWIN FLINT, in his capacity
8 as Chief of Police for the CITY OF SANTA ROSA;
9 RICH CELLI, an individual and Officer of the
SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT; TRAVIS MENKE,
an individual and Officer of the SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT;
and PATRICIA MANN, an individual and Officer of the
SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA DESANTIS, et al.,

Case No. C 07-3386 JSW (consolidated with
C 07-4474)

Plaintiffs,

16 v.
CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al..

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO AMEND
BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
ADJUDICATION**

Defendants.

The parties through their respective attorneys of record hereby stipulate as follows:

20 1. Whereas, Plaintiff Patricia DeSantis filed a Motion for Partial Summary Adjudication
21 on August 11, 2008 and setting the hearing date for October 17, 2008; and

22 2. Whereas on August 11, 2008, the court issued an order setting the briefing schedule
23 requiring defendant's opposition to be filed on August 26, 2008 and plaintiff's reply brief no
24 later than September 2, 2008; and

25 3. Whereas the court's order indicated that the parties may request the briefing schedule
26 be moved; and

27 4. Whereas the motion was received while Defendant's attorney Caroline L. Fowler was
28 on vacation and Ms. Fowler has just been sworn in as the City's new City Attorney and has been

1 required to take on numerous other responsibilities and also is scheduled for two all day
2 meditations in other matters during the two week period which defendant has been given to
3 prepare its opposition; and

4 5. Whereas defendant is also in process of preparing its own Motion for Summary
5 Judgment to be filed in accordance with the court's prior scheduling order and requests
6 additional time to prepare its opposition to plaintiff's motion on a key issue in the case;

7 6. Whereas, plaintiff does not oppose said request. The parties therefore propose that
8 Defendant's opposition be due September 9, 2008 and that plaintiff's reply brief be filed no later
9 than September 16, 2008.

10

11 || Dated: 8/19 , 2008

Caroline F. Dowd

12

10. The following table summarizes the results of the study. The first column lists the variables, the second column lists the sample size, and the third column lists the estimated effect sizes.

13

For more information about the study, please contact Dr. Michael J. Hwang at (310) 794-3000 or via email at mhwang@ucla.edu.

[4]

For more information about the study, please contact Dr. Michael J. Hwang at (310) 794-3000 or via email at mhwang@ucla.edu.

15 | Page

Dated: , 2008

16

John H. Scott
Scott Law Firm
Attorney for Plaintiffs

ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the court's prior order setting Briefing Schedule on Plaintiffs Motion for Summary Judgment is amended as follows:

cross-motion and opposition to Plaintiffs' motion (in one brief) shall be filed
1. Defendants ~~opposition to the motion to be filed no later than September 5, 2008, and~~
by no later than September 5, 2008. Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion and
2. Plaintiffs reply, if any, shall be filed no later than September 10, 2008.
reply in support of their motion (in one brief) shall be filed by no later than September 19,
2008. Defendants' reply shall be filed by no later than September 26, 2008.

Dated: August 20, 2008

Jeffrey S. White
JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE